

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/738,394	JIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Trung Dang	Art Unit 2823	

**All Participants:**

(1) Trung Dang.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) W. William Park.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 April 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 and 103

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Tseng (US 5,599,736)

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Incorporate the limitation of claim 9 into claim 1 as noted in the Examiner admendment, which would distinguishes over Tseng's reference because the prior art includes annealing process prior to the step of forming plug 10 of Fig. 6. If layer 10 of Fig.3 is interpreted to includes forming plugs in the contact holes then the plugs are not formed by an etchback process or a CMP process. .